

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/611,390	07/01/2003	Delin Li	TESSERA 3.0-272	1533	
530 75	90 05/07/2004		EXAMINER		
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,			TRAN, MAI HUONG C		
KRUMHOLZ &			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WESTFIELD,			2818	2818	
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2004	DATE MAILED: 05/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/611,39		LI, DELIN			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Mai-Huong	Tran	2818			
	- The MAILING DATE of this communica	tion appears on th	cover sheet with th c	orrespondence address			
Period fo							
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communiperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statum of the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will sply received by the Office later than three months after of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve cation. lays, a reply within the statu ory period will apply and wil I, by statute, cause the appl	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicati D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.		
Status							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>01 July</i> 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> are subject to restriction	withdrawn from cor					
Applicati	on Papers						
• -	The specification is objected to by the E						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection		•		4.15		
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to be				(a).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 4. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 5. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 6. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 6. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 7. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 8. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 9. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 9. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 9. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 9. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 9. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 9. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 9. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 9. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 9. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 9. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 9. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 9. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 9. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 9. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 9. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 9. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 9. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 9. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 9. Copies of the certified copies o	ocuments have bee ocuments have bee the priority docume al Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary				
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT · No(s)/Mail Date	· ·	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/611,390 Page 2

Art Unit: 2818

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-38 are pending in this application.

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I. Claims 1-24, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, and subclass 678.

Group II. Claims 25-38, drawn to process of making a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, and subclass 106.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be use to make other and materially different product or by hand, or (2) that process as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instance case unpatentabilities of the group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the group II invention, since the device of the group I invention could be made by the processes materially different from those of the group II invention.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the fields of search are not co-extensive. Therefore, separate examination would be required and restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,390 Page 3

Art Unit: 2818

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed

petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication on earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mai-Huong Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1796. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:30 PM. The examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0956.

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

Mai-Huong Tran

assigned is (703) 872-9306.